

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

On a *q*-generalization of circular and hyperbolic functions

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1998 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 31 5281 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/31/23/011) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.122 The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 06:55

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# On a q-generalization of circular and hyperbolic functions

Ernesto P Borges†

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, R Dr Xavier Sigaud 150, 22290-180 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Received 5 February 1998

**Abstract.** A generalization of the circular and hyperbolic functions is proposed, based on the Tsallis statistics and on a consistent generalization of the Euler formula. Some properties of the presently proposed q-trigonometry are then investigated. The generalized functions are exact solutions of a nonlinear oscillator. Original circular and hyperbolic relations are recovered as the  $q \rightarrow 1$  limiting case.

## 1. Introduction

The *q*-analysis began at the end of the 19th century, as stated by McAnally [1], recalling the work of Rogers [2] on the expansion of infinite products. Recently, however, its use and importance has increased, owing to its relationship with quantum groups [3], and its development brought together the need for the generalization of special functions to handle nonlinear phenomena [4]. The problem of the *q*-oscillator algebra [5], for example, has led to *q*-analogues of many special functions, in particular the *q*-exponential and the *q*-gamma functions [1, 6], the *q*-trigonometric functions [7], *q*-Hermite and *q*-Laguerre polynomials [3, 8], which are particular cases of *q*-hypergeometric series.

The q-exponential, for example, is defined by  $[1, 9] e_q(x) = \sum_n x^n/(n)_q!$ , with  $(n)_q! = \prod_{j=1}^n (j)_q$  and  $(j)_q = (q^j - 1)/(q - 1)$  and also  $(0)_q! = 1$ . In this paper we shall explore a *different* q-deformation of the exponential function, that emerges from Tsallis statistics.

Recently a connection between quantum groups and statistical mechanics has been proposed by Tsallis [10–12] through the concept of a generalized entropy defined by [13]  $S_q \equiv k(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{W} p_i^q)/(q-1)$ ,  $(q \in \mathcal{R})$ , where  $\{p_i\}$  are the probabilities associated with W microstates (configurations), k is a positive constant and q is the parameter that generalizes the statistics. If q is set to unity, the usual Boltzmann expression is recovered:  $S_1 = -k \sum_{i=1}^{W} p_i \ln p_i$ .

Tsallis statistics has been shown to preserve the Legendre transformation structure of thermodynamics [14], and also to satisfy generalized forms of the Ehrenfest theorem [15], von Neumann equation [16], H-theorem [17], among others. It has been applied to Lévy [18] and correlated [19] anomalous diffusions, self-gravitating systems [20], turbulence in pure electron plasma [21], cosmology and cosmic background radiation [22], solar neutrinos [23], linear response theory [24], phonon–electron interactions [25], peculiar velocities of

0305-4470/98/235281+08\$19.50 © 1998 IOP Publishing Ltd

<sup>†</sup> Also at: Departamento de Engenharia Química, Escola Politécnica, Universidade Federal da Bahia, R Aristides Novis 2, 40210-630, Salvador, BA, Brazil. E-mail address: ernesto@cat.cbpf.br

galaxies [26], nonlinear dynamical systems [27], with promising results. For an extensive and up-to-date bibliography, see [28].

For the microcanonical ensemble, Tsallis entropy is given by [13]

$$S_q = k \frac{W^{1-q} - 1}{1-q}.$$
 (1)

In the  $q \rightarrow 1$  limit, the q-entropy goes to  $S_1 = k \ln W$ . The distribution law for the canonical ensemble in the Tsallis formalism is proportional to

$$p_i \propto [1 - (1 - q)\beta E_i]^{1/(1 - q)} \tag{2}$$

where  $\beta$  is the Lagrange parameter and  $\{E_i\}$  is the energy spectrum. Equation (2) is reduced to the usual Boltzmann distribution law,  $p_i \propto e_1^{-\beta E_i}$ , as  $q \to 1$ . Note that equations (1) and (2) suggest a form to introduce a *q*-logarithm and a *q*-exponential function by defining [29]

$$\ln_q x \equiv \frac{x^{1-q} - 1}{1-q} \qquad \exp_q x \equiv e_q^x = [1 + (1-q)x]^{1/(1-q)}.$$
 (3)

It is immediately verified that  $\ln_q x$  and  $e_q^x$  are inverse to each other. The ordinary logarithm and exponential functions (here known as  $\ln_1 x$  and  $\exp_1 x$ , or  $e_1^x$ ) are recovered when  $q \to 1$ .

Here we are mainly concerned with the study of the q-circular and q-hyperbolic functions that the definitions given in equation (3) lead to. As a result, we show that some such functions, introduced in this context of the Tsallis entropy, are solutions of a nonlinear wave equation. Beyond that, q-generalizations of the Euler formula, Pythagoras theorem and De Moivre theorem are deduced, as well as the roots of the q-sine and q-cosine functions and the relation between q-circular and q-hyperbolic functions.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we introduce the q-circular functions, and establish some of its properties. In section 3 we extend this generalization to the hyperbolic functions, and, finally, in section 4 we state the conclusions and final remarks.

## 2. Generalized q-circular functions

If we expand  $\exp_q x$  in Taylor series around  $x_0 = 0$ , we find

$$\exp_q x = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} Q_{n-1} x^n$$
(4)

with

$$Q_n(q) \equiv 1 \cdot q(2q-1)(3q-2) \dots [nq-(n-1)].$$
(5)

The q-exponential of an imaginary number ix leads to an expression that reminds us of the Euler formula in complex analysis and we may write

$$\exp_q(\pm ix) = \cos_q x \pm i \sin_q x \tag{6}$$

where  $\cos_q x$  and  $\sin_q x$  represent the generalized q-cosine and q-sine functions, defined by

$$\cos_q x \equiv 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j \mathcal{Q}_{2j-1} x^{2j}}{(2j)!} \qquad \sin_q x \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j \mathcal{Q}_{2j} x^{2j+1}}{(2j+1)!}.$$
 (7)

In the following we are going to show that  $\cos_q x$  and  $\sin_q x$  satisfy general forms of the usual trigonometric relations. The ratio test shows that equations (4) and (7) converge absolutely within the region  $|x| < |1 - q|^{-1}$ . In the  $q \to 1$  limit,  $Q_n(1) = 1$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathcal{N}$  and

these equations turn to the Taylor expansions of the ordinary exponential, cosine and sine functions, converging for  $-\infty < x < \infty$ . If we take the *q*-exponential written as

$$\exp_q x = \exp_1 \left[ \frac{\ln_1 [1 + (1 - q)x]}{1 - q} \right] \qquad \forall x \neq \frac{1}{q - 1}$$
 (8)

and use the property of the 1-logarithm of a complex number  $z = |z|e_1^{i\phi}$ , namely  $\ln_1 z = \ln_1 |z| + i\phi$ , we find

$$\cos_q x = \rho_q(x) \cos_1[\varphi_q(x)] \qquad \sin_q x = \rho_q(x) \sin_1[\varphi_q(x)] \tag{9}$$

where

$$\rho_q(x) = \{ \exp_q[(1-q)x^2] \}^{1/2} \qquad \varphi_q(x) = \frac{\arctan_1[(1-q)x]}{1-q} \,. \tag{10}$$

We also have

$$\tan_q x = \tan_1[\varphi_q(x)] \tag{11}$$

where the generalized q-tangent is defined as expected,

$$\tan_q x \equiv \frac{\sin_q x}{\cos_q x} \,. \tag{12}$$

According to our notation,  $\cos_1 x$ ,  $\sin_1 x$ , and  $\tan_1 x$  are the usual cosine, sine and tangent functions. Equations (9)–(11) are interesting because they allow *q*-cosines, *q*-sines and *q*-tangents to be expressed in terms of known functions. The *q*-cosine and *q*-sine are composed by the product of two factors. The first,  $\rho_q(x)$ , is responsible for the amplitude, and the second is responsible for the oscillatory nature of these functions. In particular, observe that the *q*-sine function presents

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\sin_q x}{x} = 1 \qquad \forall q \in \mathcal{R}.$$
(13)

The behaviour of  $\cos_q x$  and  $\sin_q x$  for different values of q > 1 and q < 1 are illustrated by figures 1 and 2.



**Figure 1.** cos<sub>1.01</sub> *x*.



**Figure 2.** sin<sub>0.99</sub> *x*.



Figure 3. Spiral diagrams for q = 1.01 (continuous curve) and q = 0.99 (broken curve).

The parametric representation of the q-cosine and q-sine  $(x = \cos_q t, y = \sin_q t, z = t)$  represents a helix. Figure 3 shows the projection of the helix on the xy-plane, as viewed from the positive z-side, for different values of q. The spirals go to zero for q > 1 and diverge for q < 1. If  $q \rightarrow 1$  the spiral degenerates into a circle (the usual circular functions). The modulus of the radius vector of a point t on the spiral is given by

$$\cos_q^2 t + \sin_q^2 t = \exp_q(\mathbf{i}t) \exp_q(-\mathbf{i}t) = \rho_q^2(t)$$
(14)

that is the generalized Pythagoras theorem. These features keep a close analogy with the usual trigonometric circle and suggest that we refer to them as *q*-spiral functions. The number of rotations of these spiral diagrams is *finite*, owing to the fact that there is an absolute maximum value for  $\varphi_q(t)$ ,

$$\varphi_q^{\max} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \varphi_q(t) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left| \frac{1}{1 - q} \right| \tag{15}$$

so that  $\cos_q t$  and  $\sin_q t$  oscillate indefinitely only if q = 1. The number of roots of the q-cosine  $(N_c)$  and that of the q-sine  $(N_s)$  are found to be

$$N_c = 2\left[\operatorname{int}\left(\left|\frac{1}{1-q}\right|\right) - \operatorname{int}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{1}{1-q}\right|\right)\right] \qquad N_s = 2 \operatorname{int}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{1}{1-q}\right|\right) + 1 \tag{16}$$

where int(x) stands for the largest integer  $\leq x$ . It means that  $\cos_q x$  has no roots for  $q \leq 0$  or  $q \geq 2$ ;  $\sin_q x$  presents only one root (x = 0) for  $q \leq 0.5$  or  $q \geq 1.5$ . Within these ranges,  $\cos_q x$  and  $\sin_q x$  present a finite number of roots (infinite number of roots occurs only for q = 1).

It is straightforward to show that  $\phi_q(x) = \exp_q(ikx)$  is an *exact* solution of the following nonlinear oscillator differential equation

$$\frac{d^2[\phi(x)]^{\nu}}{dx^2} + \gamma^2[\phi(x)]^{\mu} = 0$$
(17)

with

$$q = \frac{\mu - \nu}{2} + 1 \qquad k^2 = \frac{2\gamma^2}{\nu(\mu + \nu)}.$$
(18)

When  $q \rightarrow 1$ , we recover the simple harmonic oscillator. It is worth stressing that  $\cos_q x$  and  $\sin_q x$ , taken individually, are *not* solutions of equation (17), but only if combined as equation (6).

If we take into account the fact that  $(\exp_q x)^a = \exp_{1-(1-q)/a}(ax)$ , and  $\deg_q x/dx = (\exp_q x)^q$ , together with equation (6), the derivatives of  $\cos_q x$  and  $\sin_q x$  may be expressed as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\cos_q x = -\sin_{2-1/q}(qx) \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\sin_q x = \cos_{2-1/q}(qx). \tag{19}$$

We also have the generalization of the De Moivre theorem [30]:

$$(\cos_q x \pm i \sin_q x)^a = \cos_{1-(1-q)/a}(ax) \pm i \sin_{1-(1-q)/a}(ax).$$
(20)

We are now going to express the *q*-Euler formula for a complex number z = x + iy. In order to simplify the equations, let us introduce the function  $\zeta_q \equiv \ln_1 e_q^z$  which satisfies  $\zeta_1 = z$ . If we take the 1-exponential on both sides, we may express the generalized Euler formula of a complex number *z* as:

$$\exp_q z = (\exp_1 \chi_q)(\cos_1 \psi_q + i \sin_1 \psi_q)$$
(21)

where  $\chi_q$  and  $\psi_q$  are defined in such a way that  $\zeta_q = \chi_q + i\psi_q$ , that is

$$\chi_q \equiv \frac{\ln_1 |\omega_q|}{1-q} \qquad \psi_q \equiv \frac{\arg(\omega_q)}{1-q} \qquad -\pi < (1-q)\psi_q \leqslant \pi \tag{22}$$

with  $\omega_q = 1 + (1 - q)z$ .

Another way to express the q-exponential of a complex number is

$$\exp_q z = \exp_q x \left\{ \cos_q \left[ \frac{y}{1 + (1 - q)x} \right] + i \sin_q \left[ \frac{y}{1 + (1 - q)x} \right] \right\}.$$
(23)

This expression is valid provided that  $\exp_q x$  is real and  $\forall x \neq (q-1)^{-1}$ . This happens for  $\operatorname{Re}(\omega_q) > 0$ , or for integer values of 1/(1-q). Equations (21) and (23) are the *q*-generalized Euler formula for complex numbers. Equating one another, it results in

$$(\exp_q x)\cos_q \left[\frac{y}{1+(1-q)x}\right] = (\exp_1 \chi_q)\cos_1 \psi_q$$
(24)

$$(\exp_q x) \sin_q \left[ \frac{y}{1 + (1 - q)x} \right] = (\exp_1 \chi_q) \sin_1 \psi_q.$$
<sup>(25)</sup>

5286 E P Borges

Dividing (25) by (24), we find

$$\tan_q \left[ \frac{y}{1 + (1 - q)x} \right] = \tan_1 \psi_q. \tag{26}$$

Equations (6), (9) and (11) are particular cases of equations (23)–(26) respectively, for a pure imaginary number iy where  $\exp_1 \chi_q / \exp_q x$  is the general form of  $\rho_q(x)$ , and  $\psi_q$  is that of  $\varphi_q(x)$  (equations (10)).

The comparison of equation (21) with the ordinary Euler formula  $e_1^z = e_1^x(\cos_1 y + i \sin_1 y)$  gives us an interesting remark: both  $e_1^z$  and  $e_q^z$  may be split into two factors, one responsible for the amplitude and the other responsible for the oscillations. In ordinary (q = 1) functions, the real and imaginary parts of a complex number are decoupled, so to say, whereas  $q \neq 1$  introduces a kind of *coupling* between x and y, and both the amplitude and the oscillator factors depend on both real and imaginary parts of z.

#### 3. Generalized q-Hyperbolic functions

We are naturally tempted to extend these ideas to hyperbolic functions. So, let us assume by definition

These definitions lead us to the following relation:

$$\cosh_q^2 x - \sinh_q^2 x = \exp_q(x) \exp_q(-x) = \exp_q[-(1-q)x^2]$$
 (28)

The De Moivre theorem for the q-hyperbolic functions is given by

$$(\cosh_q x + \sinh_q x)^a = \cosh_{1-(1-q)/a}(ax) + \sinh_{1-(1-q)/a}(ax)$$
(29)

and the derivatives of the q-hyperbolic functions are

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\cosh_q x = \sinh_{2-1/q}(qx) \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\sinh_q x = \cosh_{2-1/q}(qx) \,. \tag{30}$$

The connection between the usual circular and hyperbolic functions is established by the definition of such functions of complex numbers. Here we are going to proceed in a similar way, and we straightforwardly find:

$$\begin{aligned} \cosh_{q} z &= \frac{1}{2} \cosh_{q} x \left\{ \cos_{q} \left[ \frac{y}{1 - (1 - q)x} \right] + \cos_{q} \left[ \frac{y}{1 + (1 - q)x} \right] \right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} i \sinh_{q} x \left\{ \sin_{q} \left[ \frac{y}{1 - (1 - q)x} \right] + \sin_{q} \left[ \frac{y}{1 + (1 - q)x} \right] \right\} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sinh_{q} x \left\{ \cos_{q} \left[ \frac{y}{1 - (1 - q)x} \right] - \cos_{q} \left[ \frac{y}{1 + (1 - q)x} \right] \right\} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} i \cosh_{q} x \left\{ \sin_{q} \left[ \frac{y}{1 - (1 - q)x} \right] - \sin_{q} \left[ \frac{y}{1 + (1 - q)x} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$
(31)  
$$\sinh_{q} z &= \frac{1}{2} \sinh_{q} x \left\{ \cos_{q} \left[ \frac{y}{1 - (1 - q)x} \right] + \cos_{q} \left[ \frac{y}{1 + (1 - q)x} \right] \right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} i \cosh_{q} x \left\{ \sin_{q} \left[ \frac{y}{1 - (1 - q)x} \right] + \sin_{q} \left[ \frac{y}{1 + (1 - q)x} \right] \right\} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \cosh_{q} x \left\{ \cos_{q} \left[ \frac{y}{1 - (1 - q)x} \right] - \cos_{q} \left[ \frac{y}{1 + (1 - q)x} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

*On a q-generalization of circular and hyperbolic functions* 5287

$$-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{sinh}_q\,x\left\{\mathrm{sin}_q\left[\frac{y}{1-(1-q)x}\right]-\mathrm{sin}_q\left[\frac{y}{1+(1-q)x}\right]\right\}\tag{32}$$

with  $x \neq |1 - q|^{-1}$ .

# 4. Conclusions

We have developed a generalization of the usual circular and hyperbolic functions, based on a q-exponential suggested by the Tsallis formalism of statistical mechanics. Such a generalization is a consistent q-deformation of the logarithmic and exponential functions.

We have established some basic relations for the proposed q-trigonometry, for example, the Euler formula, the Pythagoras theorem, the De Moivre theorem, the relation between q-circular and q-hyperbolic functions. These relations keep a close analogy with the usual ones and are reduced to them in the  $q \rightarrow 1$  limit.

The *q*-circular functions present oscillatory behaviour only within a range of values of q (0 < q < 2 for the *q*-cosine and 0.5 < q < 1.5 for the *q*-sine). The number of roots of these functions is finite, except if q = 1, when they present an infinite number of roots.

We found that  $\phi_q(x) = \exp_q(ikx)$  is an exact solution of the nonlinear oscillator  $[\phi^{\nu}]'' + \gamma^2 \phi^{\mu} = 0$ , where q and k are functions of  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  and  $\gamma$ . The oscillations damp for  $\mu > \nu$  (q > 1) and diverge for  $\mu < \nu$  (q < 1), when  $|x| \to \infty$ .

The generalized Euler formula may be given by a product of an amplitude factor and an oscillatory factor, but, in contrast to the usual Euler formula, *both* the amplitude and oscillatory factors of  $e_a^z$  depend on *both* the real and imaginary parts of z.

Hopefully, the present generalization of the circular and hyperbolic functions, as well as their associated properties, can play a useful role in the actively studied Tsallis statistics.

# Acknowledgments

I am grateful for stimulating discussions with Kleber C Mundim, Constantino Tsallis, Thierry J Lemaire, Paulo Miranda, Ademir E Santana, José Carlos Pinto, Aurino Ribeiro Filho, Arthur Matos and Renio S Mendes.

## References

- [1] McAnally D S 1995 J. Math. Phys. 36 546-73
- [2] Rogers L J 1894 Proc. London Math. Soc. 25 318-43
- [3] Floreanini R and Vinet L 1991 Lett. Math. Phys. 22 45-54
- [4] Floreanini R and Vinet L 1993 Ann. Phys. 221 53-70
- [5] Biedenharn L C 1989 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 L873–8 Macfarlane A J 1989 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 4581–8 Floreanini R and Vinet L 1993 Phys. Lett. A 180 393–401 Floreanini R, LeTourneux J and Vinet L 1995 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28 L287–93
- [6] Atakishiyev N M 1996 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 29 L223-7
- [7] Atakishiyev N M 1996 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 29 7177-81
- [8] Atakishiyev N M and Feinsilver P 1996 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 29 1659-64
- [9] Kassel C 1995 Quantum Groups (New York: Springer)
- [10] Tsallis C 1994 Phys. Lett. A 195 329-34
- [11] Erzan A 1997 Phys. Lett. A 225 235-8
- [12] Abe S 1997 Phys. Lett. A 224 326–30
- [13] Tsallis C 1988 J. Stat. Phys. 52 479-87
- [14] Curado E M F and Tsallis C 1991 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24 L69–72 Curado E M F and Tsallis C 1991 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24 3187 (corrigendum)

Curado E M F and Tsallis C 1992 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 25 1019 (corrigendum)

- [15] Plastino A R and Plastino A 1993 Phys. Lett. A 177 177-9
- [16] Plastino A R and Plastino A 1994 Physica 202A 438-48
- [17] Mariz A M 1992 Phys. Lett. A 165 409–11
   Ramshaw J D 1993 Phys. Lett. A 175 169–70
   Ramshaw J D 1993 Phys. Lett. A 175 171–2
- [18] Alemany P A and Zanette D H 1994 Phys. Rev. E 49 R956–8
  Zanette D H and Alemany P A 1995 Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 366-8
  Tsallis C, Levy S V F, de Souza A M C and Maynard R 1995 Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 3589–93
  Tsallis C, Levy S V F, de Souza A M C and Maynard R 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 5442 (erratum)
  Caceres M O and Budde C E 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 2589
  Zanette D H and Alemany P A 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 2590
- [19] Plastino A R and Plastino A 1995 *Physica* 222A 347-54
   Tsallis C and Bukman D J 1996 *Phys. Rev.* E 54 R2197–200
   Compte A and Jou D 1996 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 29 4321–9
   Stariolo D A 1997 *Phys. Rev.* E 55 4806–9
- [20] Plastino A R and Plastino A 1993 Phys. Lett. A 174 384-6
- [21] Boghosian B M 1996 Phys. Rev. E 53 4754–63
   Anteneodo C and Tsallis C 1997 J. Mol. Liq. 71 255–67
   [22] T. W. G. D. L. C. L. L. L. E. D. 1995 Phys. Rev. E 52
- [22] Tsallis C, Sa Barreto F C and Loh E D 1995 *Phys. Rev.* E **52** 1447–51 Plastino A R, Plastino A and Vucetich H 1995 *Phys. Lett.* A **206** 42-6 Hamity V H and Barraco D E 1996 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76** 4664–6 Torres D F, Vucetich H and Plastino A 1997 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** 1588–90
- [23] Kaniadakis G, Lavagno A and Quarati P 1996 Phys. Lett. B 369 308-12
- [24] Rajagopal A K 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 3469-73
- [25] Koponen I 1997 Phys. Rev. B 55 7759-62
- [26] Lavagno A, Kaniadakis G, Rego-Monteiro M, Quarati P and Tsallis C 1998 Astrophys. Lett. Commun. 35 449–55
- [27] Tsallis C, Plastino A R and Zheng W M 1997 Chaos Solitons Fractals 8 885–91
  Costa U M S, Lyra M L, Plastino A R and Tsallis C 1997 Phys. Rev. E 56 245–50
  Lyra M L and Tsallis C 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 53–6
  Papa A R R and Tsallis C 1998 Phys. Rev. E 57 3923–7
- [28] http://tsallis.cat.cbpf.br/biblio.htm
- [29] Tsallis C 1994 Quimica Nova 17 468-71
- [30] Abramowitz M and Stegun I A 1964 Handbook of Mathematical Functions (New York: Dover)